

**Henry Martin Gladney**  
20044 Glen Brae Drive  
Saratoga, California 95070  
(408)867-5454  
[hgladney@pacbell.net](mailto:hgladney@pacbell.net)

© Gladney 2001

10<sup>th</sup> December 2001

<b>Method, System, and Data Structure for Trustworthy Digital Document Interchange and Preservation .....</b>	<b>1</b>
Statement Regarding Federally Sponsored Research or Development.....	1
Reference to a Microfiche Appendix.....	1
Background of the Invention .....	1
Field of the Invention	2
Description of the Related Art	2
Cross Reference to Related Applications	2
Citations from Scholarly Literature	2
Additional Citations from Scholarly Literature	3
Brief Summary of the Invention.....	4
Brief Description of the Drawings .....	4
Detailed Description of the Preferred Embodiments.....	5
Terms of Reference	5
Computing Environment and the Trustworthy Digital Object (TDO)	6
Information Structure	7
Value Set Structure to Express Attributes Flexibly	9
Protection Block (PB) Content and Structure	9
Trustworthy Digital Object Identifier (TDOI) Syntax and Semantics	10
Other Identifiers and Locators	11
Making a Digital Object Trustworthy by Digital Signing	13
Making Programs and Other Complex Data Interpretable	14
Using a Trustworthy Object	15
Finding and Choosing a Trustworthy Digital Object (TDO)	15
Claims of This Invention.....	17
Abstract.....	19
(Drawings).....	1

**Henry Martin Gladney**

20044 Glen Brae Drive  
Saratoga, California 95070  
(408)867-5454  
[hgladney@pacbell.net](mailto:hgladney@pacbell.net)

**© Gladney 2001**10<sup>th</sup> December 2001

# METHOD, SYSTEM, AND DATA STRUCTURE FOR TRUSTWORTHY DIGITAL DOCUMENT INTERCHANGE AND PRESERVATION

## STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT

Neither the research reported nor any invention claimed herein was sponsored by any governmental agency.

## REFERENCE TO A MICROFICHE APPENDIX

No microfiche is used in this application.

## BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Interchange of digital documents is a growing activity that is accompanied by beginning attention to preserving documents for long periods—decades or longer. Such periods are longer than storage hardware technology lifetimes, and also longer than interpreting software can be expected to function properly. Two requirements are not completely met by prior art.

The first requirement is that, for some applications, digital document users will want or need assurance that the information obtained is "the real McCoy" and comes from the purported originators. The technical jargon for this is "assurance of the authenticity and provenance" of information received—15 that information received is sufficiently trustworthy for the application(s) at hand.

The second requirement is that any potential future user of each digital document should be able to render its digital representation (its bit stream(s)) comprehensible, even though he might not have the use of hardware and software technologies similar to those used to prepare the bit stream(s), and even though the originators are not available to answer questions. A realistic scenario is the situation of a20 human reader a century after a document set was stored.

The invention described herein describes novel elements of a method to accomplish these objectives. The claimed novelties work in conjunction with existing and proposed international data

5  
10  
15  
20

processing standards and inventions by other workers. This prior and developing art is incomplete for the stated objectives; we teach the design of components that complete what other workers have proposed.

**25 FIELD OF THE INVENTION**

Enabling users of digital documents to determine how trustworthy the information the documents convey is.

Ensuring that archived digital documents will be durably intelligible and useful to future readers, even though hardware and software technology used to prepare the documents is no longer available.

**30 Fail-safe interchange of digital documents between incompatible hardware and software platforms.**

**DESCRIPTION OF THE RELATED ART**

Since the objectives described under BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION above pertain to all kinds of digitally represented documentary data and the invention at hand provides missing technology elements that combine with other technology, the reader should expect a long list of related art. For instance, the implementation of a digital preservation system is likely to exploit 100 or more ISO/IEC and ANSI information representation standards and proposed standards and conventions, including many that are rapidly evolving at the time of this application. Because this is such a complex field, with much essential detail, other authors have provided first-class bibliographies. For reasons of brevity and clarity, these are cited in the next two subsections instead of the primary literature they point at, together with brief descriptions of what they provide.

Most of the cited work is accessible in the World Wide Web. URLs are provided whenever possible.

**CROSS REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS**

- 45 U.S. Patent #5,862,325 (US PTO Site), Computer-based communication system and method using metadata defining a control structure**  
**U.S. Patent #6,044,205 (US PTO Site), Communications system for transferring information between memories according to processes transferred with the information**  
**50 U.S. Patent #6,088,717 (US PTO Site), Computer-based communication system and method using metadata defining a control-structure**

**CITATIONS FROM SCHOLARLY LITERATURE**

Directly pertinent prior art is tabulated here, and less directly pertinent work is tabulated in the next section. I.e., a complete system accomplishing the objectives of this invention almost surely uses elements of the work cited in this subsection in addition to the new elements taught below. In contrast, the work cited in the next subsection is intended to be helpful to understanding what problems are being solved and to an examiner's search for prior art.

# Trustworthy MM Document Interchange & Preservation

Page 3 of 19 and 6 sheets of figures

Patent Application

H.M. Gladney, 10<sup>th</sup> December 2001

- PCT/US2001/04021
- [Beckett 01] Dave Beckett, *Resource Description Framework (RDF) Resource Guide*, <http://www.ilrt.bris.ac.uk/discovery/rdf/resources/>, 2001.
- [Beit 01] Oren Beit-Arie et al., *Linking to the Appropriate Copy: Report of a DOI-Based Prototype*, D-Lib Magazine 7(9), September 2001. <http://www.dlib.org/dlib/september01/caplan/09caplan.html>
- [Chadwick 96] D W Chadwick, A J Young, and N Kapidzic Cicovic, *Merging and Extending the PGP and PEM Trust Models - The ICE-TEL Trust Model*, 1996. <http://www.darmstadt.gmd.de/ice-tel/reports/trustmodel.html>
- [CNRI 01] Corporation for National Research Initiatives, *Handle System: A general-purpose global name service enabling secure name resolution over the Internet*, <http://www.handle.net/>, 2001. .
- [Cover 01] Robin Cover, *The XML Cover Pages*, <http://www.oasis-open.org/cover/sgml-xml.html>, 2001.
- [Dack 01] Diana Dack, *Persistent Identification Systems: Report on a consultancy conducted for the National Library of Australia*, May 2001. <http://www.nla.gov.au/initiatives/persistence/P1contents.html>. See also the **Persistent Identifiers Webpage** at <http://www.nla.gov.au/initiatives/persistence.html>.
- [Lorie 00] Raymond Lorie, *Long Term Archiving of Digital Information*, IBM Invention Disclosure AM9-99-0140, filed 2/25/2000. Also, *Long-Term Archiving of Digital Information*, IBM Research Report RJ 10185, 2000.  
<http://domino.watson.ibm.com/library/CyberDig.nsf/7d11afdf5c7cda94852566de006b4127/be2a2b188544df2c8525690d00517082>
- [Lorie 01] Raymond Lorie, *Long-term Archiving of Digital Information*, Proc. First ACM/IEEE-CS Joint Conf. on Digital Libraries, 346-352, June 24-28, 2001. Also, *A Project on Preservation of Digital Data*, RLG DigiNews 5(4), June 2001. <http://www.rlg.org/preserv/diginews/diginews5-3.html#feature2>
- [Mactaggart] Murdoch MacTaggart, *Enabling XML security: An introduction to XML encryption and XML signature*, IBM DeveloperWorks, September 2001. <http://www-106.ibm.com/developerworks/xml/library/s-xmlsec.html/index.html>
- [W3C 01] W3C/IETF URI Planning Interest Group, *URIs, URLs, and URNs: Clarifications and Recommendations 1.0*, W3C Note 21 September 2001. <http://www.w3.org/TR/2001/NOTE-uri-clarification-20010921/>

## ADDITIONAL CITATIONS FROM SCHOLARLY LITERATURE

This invention targets information interchange over the Internet and other digital networks. Such interchange depends on many ISO/IEC, ANSI, and de facto industry standards, and the payloads that will be assisted by this invention will adhere to some of these standards. Although the invention itself does not intersect this prior art, parts of the preferred embodiment conform to such standards, as will be indicated in the text.

- [CLIR 00] C.R. Cullen et al., *Authenticity in a Digital Environment*, published as CLIR Report pub92 (ISBN 1-887334-77-7), which is described at: <http://www.clir.org/pubs/abstract/pub92abst.html> .
- [Feghhi 98] J. Feghhi, P. Williams, and J. Feghhi, *Digital Certificates: Applied Internet Security*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1998. ISBN 0-201-30980-7
- [Gladney 01] H. M. Gladney, *Audio Archiving for 100 Years and Longer: Once We Decide What to Save, How Should We Do It?* J. Audio Eng. Soc. 49(7/8), 628-637, July/August 2001.
- [Menezes 97] A.J. Menezes, P.C. van Oorschot, and S.A. Vanstone, *Handbook of Applied Cryptography*, CRC Press, New York, (1997). See also <http://ittf.doc.gov/>. ISBN 0-8493-8523-7
- [MPEG-7] Motion Picture Experts Group (the ISO/IEC working group in charge of standards development for digital video), *The MPEG Home Page*, 2001.
- [NZ 01] E-government Unit, New Zealand, *S.E.E. Public Key Infrastructure*, <http://www.e-government.govt.nz/projects/see/pki/>, 2001.
- [Pulkowski 00] Sebastian Pulkowski, *Intelligent Wrapping of Information Sources: Getting Ready for the Electronic Market*, Vala 2000 Conference, 16<sup>th</sup> February 2000.
- [Zbikowski] Mark Zbikowski, Brian T. Berkowitz, and Robert L Ferguson, *Meta-data Structure and Handling*, United States Patent Number 5,758,360, May 26, 1998 (Filed: Aug. 2, 1996).

## BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The core of this invention is a digital document packaging structure that includes information relating the packaged documents with one another and with external documents, doing so in a way to make both the package content elements individually and their relationships more trustworthy than they would otherwise be. Furthermore, the packaging method ensures that the information will be interpretable for all time, even if its readers cannot ask questions of the information originator(s).

The preferred embodiment addresses the most difficult situation—effective communication of information originating today with some user remote both in space and time, e.g., some scholar who, a century from now, needs to know how trustworthy the information is, and who needs to understand the content that might include technical diagrams, mathematical expressions, scientific and geographic data, and corporate financial reports. Furthermore, the information to be comprehended might include representations of theatrical performances that must be viewed and heard for full appreciation. It might also include various kinds of computer programs, among which the most demanding are simulations, such as battlefield simulations, whose value is achieved only by execution. The input carrying all these kinds of information might be a document set that the scholar finds in some research library or in Internet storage repositories, and institutions certifying certain properties of this information might be research libraries like the Library of Congress.

However, there are simpler and more immediate applications, including but not limited to commands sent from one computer to another in a digital communication network, financial instruments for securities transactions, digital instructions to machine tools, bills of materials and other documents essential to manufacturing operations, and e-commerce orders.

Most generally, the document structure taught contributes to enabling useful communication between digital machines that otherwise could not work together. Such communication is generally made effective by exploiting standards for inter-machine communication. The invention extends such pre-existing methods by elements that nobody has previously considered.

## BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 illustrates the prototypical computer and digital communications environment.

FIG. 2 illustrates an input object consisting of an arbitrary number of documents and metadata blocks.

FIG. 3 illustrates trustworthy packaging of the object illustrated in FIG. 2.

FIG. 4 illustrates the structure of a value set for use in several places in a trustworthy package.

FIG. 5 provides detail of the structure of the Protection Block (**PB**) suggested in FIG. 3.

FIG. 6 provides detail of FIG. 3, emphasizing structure used to seal the **PB**, the payload, and associated reference information in order to prevent undetected tampering with the packaged information.

FIG. 7 and FIG. 8 provide context for the use of a previous invention (by Raymond A. Lorie) and the

140 method whereby the current invention creates a durable and trustworthy association of separate  
digital objects that need to be safely associated over long periods of time. Specifically, FIG. 7  
helps describe how complex data is made interpretable in the future, and FIG. 8 helps describe  
how computer programs can be made executable in the future.

## DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED 145 EMBODIMENTS

### TERMS OF REFERENCE

In this invention, the distinctions between various information kinds and purposes are deliberately irrelevant; it applies to recordings of theatrical presentations, scientific data, computer games, and to any other kind of information that might pass between a source human being or source machine and a target  
150 human being or target machine. Thus, appellations such as "author", "artist", "musician", "composer", and so on are effectively synonyms; generally, we use "originator" for any such person except when doing so would make the description stilted.

Similarly, other conventional English words for the roles of individuals in the use of information (e.g., "reader"), the machine functions (e.g., "print"), and the information exchanged (e.g., "document") are usually too narrow. Where their use would otherwise be ambiguous, the reader should construe them as widely as seems reasonable. The following tabulation of terms of reference defines some words and phrases whose precise meanings are important to this invention and not entirely conventional.

Bit stream	sequence of binary characters; a synonym for <i>file</i> or <i>dataset</i> used to emphasize that it denotes an information representation readily transmitted via a serial channel or stored on a disk or tape.
Data object	digital representation of any kind of information; often used as a synonym for <i>document</i> (q.v.), <i>file</i> , <i>dataset</i> , <i>video signal</i> , <i>drawing</i> , <i>image</i> , ... .
Document	digital representation of any kind of information, such as commands, text, photographs, video or audio information, scientific tables, spreadsheets, computer programs both simple and complex, ... or any ordered or unordered combination of such specialized kinds of information.
Eye catcher	a bit string or character string used as a search target in order to locate other information whose content and format cannot be predicted. Typically, the eye catcher immediately precedes the information of interest.
Originator	person or organization that creates a document intended to be communicated to others, either directly and soon, or by way of intermediaries such as archives and research libraries that hold the document for long and unpredictable periods.
Link	a connection between a location within a data object with some other location within a data object, which might be the same object or another object. In this invention, "link" is a synonym for "reference" and for "pointer".
Metadata	information describing a document with text elements and other information needed for using or managing the document and usually not contained in the document itself. Often metadata is created and attached to a document by someone other than the document originator. E.g., a library cataloguer might create metadata for a book, doing so because she does not want to alter the book as delivered to her by its author.

	Ontology	In AI and Knowledge Engineering, the hierarchy of objects and properties in a representation system; more simply, the notion that in order to understand identifiers, you have to understand what kinds of things "exist" to be identified and the mutual relationships of these object kinds.
185	Payload	set or sequence of one or more payload elements (q.v.).
	Payload element	document or data object, optionally accompanied by zero or more metadata objects.
	Protection block (PB)	new type of metadata block that is part of this invention, and described below.
	Reader	a human being or a digital machine that usefully interprets documents it obtains or receives, independently of the kind of information. E.g., if the information is a musical performance, <i>reader</i> is a synonym for <i>listener</i> .
190	Resolver	a network service that accepts the name or identifier of a digital object and, either alone or by cooperation with other resolvers, returns the network addresses of storage servers that can deliver objects with the name provided.
195	Trustworthy	describing information deserving people's confidence that it can prudently be used for its announced purposes. Specifically in this invention, this includes that a user is able to test that information purported to come from announced authors has not been tampered with by third parties and that it surely originates with the purported author(s).
	Trustworthy Digital Object (TDO):	the kind of digital object whose production, advantages, and beneficial uses are taught by the current invention.
200	Trustworthy Digital Object Identifier (TDOI):	an identifier for and in a TDO (q.v.), i.e., a byte string whose properties and uses are similar to those of so-called URIs. It might be a URI [W3C 01].
	Trustworthy Institution	an institution or enterprise that can be trusted to certify faithfully the authenticity of documents and other critical information, such as the association of a public key with an individual or another institution. For instance, for scholarly documents this might be a national library such as the U.S. Library of Congress. Depending on the application area, it might otherwise be a bank such as Barclay's Bank , a government agency such as the U.S. National Archives and Records Administration, a private enterprise such as IBM, or any other kind of institution that some community trusts sufficiently for its certifying role in protecting some class of digital documents.
210	URI, URN	"Uniform Resource Identifier" and "Uniform Resource Name" respectively—used for objects in the World Wide Web. See [W3C 01].
	UVC	"Universal Virtual Computer", a Turing compatible computing machine; see [Lorie 00] for a preferred embodiment.

DOCUMENT NUMBER

Other specialized terms used in this patent application are common and widely used industry

jargon that can be found in one or more of the citations above.

## COMPUTING ENVIRONMENT AND THE TRUSTWORTHY DIGITAL OBJECT (TDO)

This invention operates in a computing environment (FIG. 1) in which some originator 20 uses a digital device 22, commonly called a (network) client, to convey some document 26 to some reader 21. Said reader 21 uses a digital device 23, commonly also called a (network) client, to acquire and read or otherwise make use of the information embodied in the document 26. The document 26 is transmitted by means 24 that might be a telecommunications channel or a material substrate such as a computer diskette, with optional temporary delay being managed by holding a copy of the document 26 in storage 25 that might be managed by parties unknown to the originator 20, or to the reader 21, or to both 20 and 21. Although the means of transmission 24 and storage 25 preferred in this invention are digital networks and magnetic disk storage, they might be any other effective means, such as the U.S. Post Office and a CD respectively.

The document created by an originator **20** for the eventual benefit of some reader **21** is called a Trustworthy Digital Object or **TDO** below.

230 The transmission might be either synchronous and triggered by concerted actions by the originator and reader, or asynchronous, with the originator causing the object to be deposited in storage **25** from which the reader causes the document to be recovered later—possibly many years later. The originator **20** might create the **TDO** for some known set of users **21** or might be entirely ignorant of the identities of these eventual users.

235 The **TDO** might be constructed, transmitted, and read for any useful purpose whatsoever. That is, it might represent a scholarly manuscript, an artistic performance, an engineering specification, a medical patient history, a purchase order for goods or services, a computer program together with its documentation, a military command, a command from one computing device for execution at another computing device, or any other information whatsoever. The purpose of the invention is to make the transmitted information more trustworthy and more durably useful than it would otherwise be.

240 As suggested by the FIG. 1 depictions of the originating computer device **22** and the receiving computer device **23**, the information transfer occurs between terminals of potentially different hardware and software architecture. What enables intelligible transfer from **22** to **23** is that the document **26** is structured and formatted according to commonly understood rules embodied in international standards or de facto publicly known conventions to which the terminal devices conform by a large number of hardware and software accommodations. The current invention adds to such prior art.

245 Specifically, this invention teaches aspects of the **packaging** and **semantics** for rendering digital documents more durable and trustworthy than they would otherwise be, and neither teaches anything about the syntax or language of such rendering nor is limited to any particular syntax set. However, no information can be represented without a syntax set understood both by its originator and also by its intended receivers. Our preferred embodiment uses XML syntax; XML has been and is being addressed in international standards activities whose documentary records are mostly WWW-accessible [Cover 01].

255 A full implementation necessarily includes computer programs that execute in the originating and the exploiting machines, **21** and **23** respectively. Given the data structure taught in this invention, such programs can be implemented with prior well-known methods. I.e., the invention is embodied primarily in the data structure taught below.

## INFORMATION STRUCTURE

FIG. 2 illustrates an input object **1** that is provided by the originator **20** using the device **22**. This input object can convey any information whatsoever using representations comprehensible by the reader **21** with the aid of the device **23**. However, if **22** receives the input object “as is”, he might find it contains elements he cannot exploit (e.g., because they are computer programs for a different kind of machine than **23**) or deem it insufficiently trustworthy for the application at hand. I.e., the input object **1** is not

trustworthy in the sense this invention provides for; the invention is a method for transforming the input object 1 into a TDO.

FIG. 2 further illustrates that the input object 1 might be made up of any number of documents (abbreviated **Docs**) or digital objects 2 and any number of metadata blocks (abbreviated **MB** and also called metadata elements) 3. Portions of the order of these elements might be significant to users, or the entire order might be significant, or ordering may have no meaning to users of this information. In any case, the contained objects must occur in some order for transmission across serial channels and perhaps for other processing purposes. We presume that the originator 20 chooses and conveys some ordering as part of delivering the input object 1, and that this the orderings deemed significant. We preserve this ordering when we build the contents of the input 1 into the **TDO 10** (FIG. 3) and use this conveyed ordering as an index that identifies blocks of data.

The content objects might include pointers or references 5, each from some object 2 to some other object 2, and also pointers or references 6, each from some metadata block 3 to some object 2. Although pointers ending in metadata objects 3 are unlikely and therefore not shown, they might occur; such occurrences will not materially affect what is described below. Any number of pointers—zero or more—of any mixture of kinds might occur.

Collectively, **Docs** and **MBs** are called payload elements below, and any collection of these that might be communicated or stored is called a payload.

Although FIG. 2 shows one metadata block 3 for each digital object 2, the numbers and associations to documents of metadata objects provided by input sources can be whatever the originator 20 chooses. A metadata block might be related to any number of documents, including zero documents, and any document might have any number of associated metadata blocks, including the possibility of zero such blocks. This is suggested in the figure by the “and so on” symbol 4.

FIG. 3 illustrates a trustworthy packaging—a TDO. The object 10 is built from the object 1, which it includes entirely without change, by the addition of one or more metadata blocks 11 that optionally include references to and/or into the portions 2 and 3 of the input object 1. This block 11 is a new type of metadata block called a **protection block** (abbreviated **PB**) in the text below, where its content and structure is described. As suggested by FIG. 3, a **TDO** consists of information blocks or files laid out in a sequential order so that the **TDO** can be transmitted over a single information channel. Alternatively and for convenience in processing, a **TDO** might be differently laid out in computer memory or on digital storage disks and tapes; if this is done, the representation includes sufficient information so that the serial transmission format can be reconstructed in its canonical order.

FIG. 3 illustrates also that, since a **protection block** (**PB**) is also a **metadata block** (**MB**), any **TDO** might itself be part of a payload within a trustworthy packaging. I.e., **TDOs** can be nested and it is frequently valuable to do so.

## VALUE SET STRUCTURE TO EXPRESS ATTRIBUTES FLEXIBLY

FIG. 4 illustrates a value set, which follows structure described in [CNRI 01]. Each value **40** has a unique index number that distinguishes it from the other values of the set. Each value also has a specific data type that describes the syntax and semantics of its data, and each value has associated administrative information such as TTL (time to live) and permissions. Each value has an optional ontology field that is usually empty, and otherwise contains the URI for an ontology that conveys the meaning of the data field, as described in publications cited by [Beckett 01].

Such complex value records, which are also referred to simply as **TDO** values, are used in various places in the Protection Block described in the next section. (Note that the encoding of the length for each field is not shown in FIG. 4.)

## PROTECTION BLOCK (PB) CONTENT AND STRUCTURE

FIG. 5 illustrates that a **PB 30** consists of a **TDOI 31**, an optional manifest **32**, an optional **relationship block (RB) 33**, and zero or more X.509 digital certificates **34**.

FIG. 5 further suggests a procedure for generation of digitally signed message authentication codes. Some certifying authority working in a secure environment fills in its public key and any other missing information into the certificate **34**, creates a cryptographic hash of the information to be sealed, and then uses its private key **35** to generate the certifying digital signature **39**. Computer programs **36** to accomplish this are well known, as are programs to check that the signed data (all of **34** except for the signature itself) corresponds to the signature, i.e., has not been changed after being signed.

The **TDOI 31** is extended by fields **38** used to hold essential and non-essential information related to digital signing described below. The essential fields enable whoever reads the **TDO** to validate that it has not been altered by anyone other than the owner of the included public key; these include a timestamp, a signature algorithm identifier, a signing authority identifier and the signing authority's public key value corresponding to the timestamp. The non-essential information might be anything expected to be useful to the **TDO** reader **21** and not otherwise easily available in the **TDO**, such as an ASCII representation of the signing authority's name, address, e-mail address, telephone number, etc., and such as a date beyond which the signer thinks the document no longer useful for its intended purpose. For instance, if the document is an electronic ticket to a sports event, it would not be useful after the event ends.

Each field in **38** is encoded according to widely published specifications and standards. E.g., the timestamp might be encoded as an 8-byte (long) integer that records the last time the value was updated at the primary server that manages the handle value; it might contain elapsed time since 00:00:00 UTC, January 1970 in milliseconds.

330        The **PB** might include a manifest **32** that is a sequence of value sets. Each such value set describes the corresponding payload block, i.e., the *n*th manifest element describes the *n*th payload block.

335        The **PB** might include a relationship block **RB** with any number of rows. Each row **33** is a sequence of three cells. Each of the first and last cells of a row contains an object identifier as described in the next two sections, or the identifier of an external object, or a bookmark into either kind of object. External identifiers and bookmarks can conform to any of several well-known rule sets for such linking information. The middle cell describes the relationship between the two objects identified. This is encoded as a value set as described in the prior section; the value set might identify further objects either within the **TDO** or external to it; i.e., the **PB** structure imposes no bound to the detail in which relationships can be described.

340        The **PB** might further contain any additional information deemed valuable to future users, especially additional information thought useful for making the authenticity and provenance of the **TDO** more trustworthy, such as information about digital watermarks and fingerprints applied to payload elements.

#### 345        TRUSTWORTHY DIGITAL OBJECT IDENTIFIER (**TDOI**) SYNTAX AND SEMANTICS

350        The Trustworthy Object Identifier (**TDOI**) **31** consists of a prefix and a suffix. All **TDOIs** have the same prefix. This prefix is a string chosen to avoid collision with the prefixes used for other identifier classes (see below) and long enough to be useful to search engines as an eye catcher. In this preferred embodiment, this eye catcher is chosen to be "TDOI:".

355        The suffix is a character string unique to each set of **TDOs** whose originators decide to share some **TDOI** value. This is a long string chosen in such a way that the probability of accidental equality to an independently chosen **TDOI** is very small, e.g., 1 chance in  $10^{20}$ . There are several well-known ways to accomplish this. Its preferred encoding is with ASCII characters and with any other restrictions helpful to avoiding difficulties in legacy systems which might need to process **TDOs**.

360        **TDO** originators choose whether a new **TDO** is to have a new **TDOI** or the same **TDOI** as some already-existing **TDO**. Presumably the latter will mostly be for later versions of some earlier document, but there is no restriction that this be the case. For example, an author might package his book submission to a publisher as a **TDO**, signed with the author's public key. The publisher's editorial staff might package its extensively revised version of the book as a **TDO** with the same **TDOI** as the author supplied, and sign this version with its own public key and timestamp. This publisher's version might include not only its own **PB**, but also the **PB** supplied by the author. The publisher might share this version with a copyright depository library and pay a certification fee to have this library build a new **TDO** that includes the publisher's version together with standard cataloguing metadata; this new **TDO** would be

365 packaged with the library's public key and timestamp, and would again use the **TDOI** first provided by the author. The publisher might then distribute copies widely, i.e., publish this version.

When the time comes to issue a revised copy, a similar sequence of steps might be followed with a revised manuscript, and each of the author's **TDO**, the publisher's **TDO**, and the copyright depository library's **TDO** might include ancillary information that enhances the work. For example, if the book is about digital computation, the author might include new sample programs, the publisher might include 370 promotional material and links to Internet sales sources for related software, and the library might include information about interest group bibliographies. Again, the publisher might distribute copies widely.

For this example, we further assume that the library's public keys are trustworthy, that the library has been diligent in checking that the publisher's public keys are valid, and similarly that the publisher has checked that it can trust the author's public key.

375 Suppose further that the book becomes famous and that eventually (say, after copyrights have expired) both the first publisher's version and the second publisher's version are put on the WWW, i.e., stored on a public Web site that is accessible to the popular search services. Then some reader who finds a version of the work could request all works with the same **TDOI**. She would receive, after filtering to remove duplicate **TDOs**, two versions. From their protection blocks, she would infer their provenance and relationship. From the library's timestamped signatures she would further be able to trust all the 380 information received to the extent that she trusts the library's dedication and ability to have made correct validity tests many years earlier. Furthermore, she can compare the innards of the two **TDOs** both for further tests of validity and to discover document history details of kinds that sometimes interest scholars.

Notice that the **TDOI 31** concatenated to the timestamp that heads the fields **38** conforms to all 385 the rules for a valid IETF Uniform Resource Name (URN, aka "Uniform Resource Identifier (URI)") in the applicable international standards, except that its syntax might be different. Thus, this combination can be used instead of a URN or URI wherever these might otherwise occur, conferring all the benefits of such identifiers.

## OTHER IDENTIFIERS AND LOCATORS

390 Anywhere a **TDOI** might stand, except in the position **31** shown in FIG. 5 and in FIG. 6, any other form of identifier or locator might be used, including but not limited to instances of the following well-known identifier and locator classes. The only limitations are that, to be useful, an identifier must conform to some well-known international standard or widely published convention, and that the specific pertinent convention be unambiguously conveyed by the identifier.

- |     |  |                      |
|-----|--|----------------------|
| 395 | ❖ Digital Object Identifiers (DOIs), such as   | 10.1000.10/123456789 |
|     | ❖ International Standard Book Numbers, such as | ISBN 1-861003-11-0   |
|     | ❖ Social Security Numbers, such as             | US SSN 461-34-7155   |

- ❑ International Telephone Numbers, such as Telnum 1-415-520-1234
  - ❑ Uniform Resource Locators (URLs), such as <http://www.abanet.org/ftp/pub/scitech/ds-ms.doc>
  - ❑ Uniform Resource Name of Object Identifiers , such as urn:oid:1.3.6.1.2.1.27
  - ❑ Vehicle numbers, driver's license numbers, passport numbers, and so on.

Some such classes require disambiguation to avoid collisions between instances in different classes, and are given obvious prefixes; this is illustrated above by the Social Security Number and telephone number examples. Other classes already have standard disambiguating prefixes and can be used as is conventional in other applications; this is illustrated above by the URL and ISBN examples. All such identifiers and also **TDOIs** are called “external identifiers” below whenever it is important to distinguish their treatment from that of “internal identifiers” described below. However, external identifiers are mostly used the same way as internal identifiers.

Some of these forms may be extended by offsets into the content, or bookmarks. This is often indicated by a "#" sign followed by a bookmark name or an offset. This convention can be extended from those standard identifiers that use them to others that need, but do not define, such offsets. For instance, this might be extended to include page numbers of printed books.

These identifier types include a special type—called an internal identifier below—that identifies information blocks within the **TDO** itself. Instances of this type are denoted by non-negative integers, each identifying a block in the **TDO**. The integer “0” identifies the Protection Block **11** in FIG. 3 and positive integers identify the subsequent blocks in order.

Furthermore, any block **2** in FIG. 3 might itself be a **TDO**. If so, it is considered to be similarly numbered, and the symbol “.” is used as punctuation that separates portions of a compound identifier. Thus the identifier “3.2” would indicate the second internal payload data block within the third payload block of the current **TDO** and “5.0” would identify the **PB** of the fifth payload block of the current **TDO**; i.e., surely “5” indicates that the 5<sup>th</sup> payload block is itself a **TDO**. In contrast, the information given so far does not convey whether the third payload block is a **TDO** or not.

Identifiers “0.n”, where “n” is an integer, identify the data blocks that make up the **PB**. In FIG. 5, each of **31**, **37**, and the individual fields of **38** is counted as a block, as is the manifest **32**, the relationship block **33**, each instance of a certificate block **34**, and such other kinds of blocks as might be defined for protection block inclusion in the future.

Rows within the manifest **32** are also assigned identifiers following the same scheme as described above for payload blocks within payload blocks, starting with “1” for the first manifest row. I.e., in FIG. 6, 0.1.5 identifies the fifth manifest row, which itself describes the fifth payload element, i.e., the payload block identified as “5”.

Internal identifiers, like external identifiers, can be extended by offsets and bookmarks; the syntax and semantics of such offsets and bookmarks are identical for internal and external identifiers.

## MAKING A DIGITAL OBJECT TRUSTWORTHY BY DIGITAL SIGNING

As illustrated by FIG. 6, to make a sequence of data objects into a **TDO**, the sequence of

435 information blocks, consisting of a **PB 30** followed by some metadata blocks and documents in this input order, is preceded by a signed message authentication code **37**. This code is constructed by calculating from the body **41** by well-known methods for rendering a digital object resistant to undetected alteration. This calculation is done by the program **61**, which is fed the private key **62** to do the signing.

Construction of the message authentication code **37** is done by an institution, such as the Library  
440 of Congress, that is widely trusted for certification of document classes that include the document at hand. Each such trustworthy institution would have previously published descriptions of the properties of documents it offers to certify, and also public keys, one for each time period in which certifications have occurred. Institutions make themselves trustworthy by publishing their certification criteria and by persuading its intended clients, which might be the entire citizenry, that the institution depends in  
445 essential ways on its reputation for integrity.

Such institutions optionally enlarge the communities that trust them by certifying each other's  
450 public keys, to create a so-called "Web of Trust", doing so by each such institution widely publishing signed public key certificates endorsing the public key to institutional identification mapping of sister institutions. This is made safe by "out of band" communication of public keys. E.g., at the annual meeting of the American Library Association, a representative of Harvard University Library might exchange public key diskettes with a representative of the Princeton University Library; then Harvard might publish a Harvard-signed certificate endorsing that the Princeton key so transmitted belongs to the Princeton library, and vice versa.

Shortly after such a trustworthy institution receives an input document from its originator, it would  
455 test this input and its knowledge of the originator to determine whether they satisfy its published criteria for document certification. If it believes its criteria are satisfied, it copies the document into a digital computer that it can detach from all digital networks and that is guarded against containing any pertinent secret while it is attached to any digital network. A machine operator then detaches this computer from all networks and provides it the private portion **62** of the public/private key pair that will sign the document  
460 (e.g., this secret key might be on a computer diskette). He then invokes a program that fills in all missing **PB** portions, doing so by well-known means **61** of providing cryptographic message authentication codes and essential metadata, such as identifiers of the algorithms used, ensures that the document has canonical XML form, and creates and signs the message authentication code **37**, thereby completing the **TDO** construction. Finally, he removes the aforementioned secret information from the signing machine,  
465 and then re-attaches this machine to such digital networks as are needed to communicate the **TDO** to whatever repository **25** it should be stored in and/or back to whoever requested the message authentication.

In order to protect its private key further, and also in order to provide users with extra assurance of the age of TDOs it has signed, the trustworthy institution changes its public/private key pair periodically—annually for instance—and destroys all copies of the private key, which need never again be used. By such measures and related business security controls, it makes misappropriation of its private keys sufficiently difficult to be unattractive to would-be fraudulent agents. (How careful is careful enough will depend on the kind of documents that the private key will be used to certify, e.g., keys for large funds transfers will require more care than keys for certifying scholarly publications.)

#### 475 MAKING PROGRAMS AND OTHER COMPLEX DATA INTERPRETABLE

The method described in the sections above is sufficient when every data object **2** of FIG. 2 belongs to a data type that is simple enough to be described completely by data standards, and that occurs sufficiently frequently that standards bodies have seen fit to provide such complete specifications. (For reference below, we call this case **O** treatment for ordinary data objects.) For computer programs and other data objects that do not meet the criteria for case **O** treatment, we provide another method; this builds on a prior invention by Raymond Lorie.

[Lorie 00] and [Lorie 01] teach making complex data and computer programs interpretable in the distant future. This method works even when the computing machines and software used to create and use such data and programs cannot be used when someone is interested in the stored data. However, Lorie does not teach a reliable way to associate separate computer files over long periods of time. What follows provides for this need.

There are two cases. In case **D** illustrated by FIG. 7, complex data is to be propagated; in case **P** illustrated by FIG. 8, a computer program is to be propagated. In both cases, Lorie teaches that a “universal virtual computer” (**UVC**) provides for making computer programs that work on 2001 A.D. (for instance) hardware and software reliably executable in 2102 A.D. (for instance) with whatever technology is available then. This **UVC** is computationally equivalent to a Turing machine. It is called “virtual” because no physical implementation is needed; instead, instances are realized by emulations that execute in digital environments available whenever **UVC** instances are needed.

In case **D** (FIG. 7), we save a **UVC** program **48** bound to the data **49** needing future interpretation. This **UVC** program **48** is interpreted in 2102 A.D. by a **UVC** interpreter **43** written to operate in a 2102 digital environment **M2102** and to work on the saved data **49**. Each of **48** and **49** is a data object that we save as a **2** instance (see FIG. 6) together with such metadata **3** as might be needed by the restore application **45** executed in 2102 A.D.

In case **P** (FIG. 8), we save not only the application input data **50** and the computer program **51**, which is a program for today’s computer (called **M2001** in the figure), but also an emulator for **M2001**. This emulator **52** is written as a **UVC** program. In 2102 A.D. when the objects **50** and **51** are to be used again, a restore application **45** uses a **UVC** interpreter written in the code of the 2102 A.D. machinery to

505

translate the object **52** into a **M2001** emulator **47** written in the code of the **M2102** machine. This program **47** executes the application **51** on the data **50**. I.e., we save **50**, **51**, and **52** and perhaps auxiliary metadata together in a way that some 2102 A.D. user in can trust that these data objects are related as needed to accomplish the 2102 A.D. interpretation task suggested by FIG. 8.

510

We save any object set **Y** for either case **D** or case **P** treatment with the **TDO** structure described in prior sections and illustrated in FIG. 6. Any additional data objects whose associations with **Y** are important we include these objects in the same package. I.e., the payload of a **TDO** includes whatever combination of data objects needs to be reliably associated, including objects variously requiring **O**, **D**, and **P** treatment. The manifest **32** indicates the treatment needed for each object, and relationship rows in **33** indicate which object pairs **48** and **49** belong together (for **D** instances) and which object triples **50**, **51**, and **52** belong together (for **P** instances).

515

Since the emulator **52** is likely to be used with many **50**, **51** pairs, we can save it as replicas in the worldwide network. If we do this, we assign it a URI in place of the **TDOI** that we would use if we communicated the emulator as **TDO** content. We would record the URI of such an externally held emulator **52** in the appropriate slots of the relationship table **33**.

520  
525  
530

## USING A TRUSTWORTHY OBJECT

A computer program helps the reader **21** (in FIG. 1) inspect and test a **TDO** (see FIG. 6), and also to extract portions of interest. The user might receive the **TDO** as part of a communication **24** either from the originator **20** or from some third party (not shown). He can without further ado and with the aid of the manifest **32** extract and use the objects of interest. Alternatively he can use the contents of the **PB 30** together with published information about public key values and testing policies of the signing institutions to assess the trustworthiness of the payload elements **2** and **3** and links **5** and **6** conveying relationships between payload elements. He can execute such tests with varying thoroughness as needed by his application. How to write computer programs for such tasks is well known to EDP practitioners.

Alternatively, the reader **21** might find a **TDO** by searching in the Internet. How we enable searching is described below. After the user locates and downloads a **TDO**, he continues as described above.

530

## FINDING AND CHOOSING A TRUSTWORTHY DIGITAL OBJECT (TDO)

535

A reader might learn of some **TDO 63** (not shown in the figures) by communication of its **TDOI 64** (not shown) by someone else, or by the **TDOI 64** being mentioned in another document. Since **64** identifies the object but does not indicate where any copy is located, the reader would ask for a name-to-address resolution. This would be by query to a name-to-address resolver service such as that described in [CNRI 01], which would return a set of URLs associated with satisfying digital objects and, optionally, their signing timestamps (see the head item of **38** in FIG. 5). This information is sufficient for the reader

to eliminate duplicates, to obtain all the accessible distinct **TDOs** with this **TDOI**, and to select those instances that interest him, possibly using optimizations such as that described by [Beit 01].

540 How to construct a resolver database of the kind alluded to in the prior paragraph is taught by [CNRI 01] and publications it alludes to.

Alternatively, a reader **21** might search for documents using well-known Internet search services.

To ensure that she finds satisfying **TDOs**, the crawler portions of search services would search for instances of the eye catcher described above under TRUSTWORTHY DIGITAL OBJECT IDENTIFIER (**TDOI**)

545 SYNTAX AND SEMANTICS above, extract the **TDOI**s, and construct a database mapping **TDOI**s to URLs. Furthermore, such a crawler could detect and exploit the other useful information in each **PB 31**, such as the optionally included URI. With this, such services would be able to service reader **21** requests, returning URL sets of at least three different kinds: (1) just those URLs satisfying the query; (2) all the URLs of (1) augmented by all URLs whose **TDOI**s coincide with **TDOI**s found in the response (1); or (3) 550 the response (2) pruned to remove URLs for duplicate **TDOs**. Given such services, readers would proceed by well-known methods of information retrieval.

2003047426-A1